

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES
INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM INC,

Defendant.

Case No.17-cv-03022-JST (JSC)

**ORDER RE: EOLAS'S *IN CAMERA*
SUBMISSION**

Re: Dkt. No. 458

At the September 6, 2018 status conference, Eolas agreed to provide the Court with unredacted versions of certain disputed documents for *in camera* review. (Dkt. Nos. 452, 453.) Eolas has since done so. (Dkt. No. 458.) Although no privilege log was included with the production, the Court notes that the following documents were submitted:

MCKOOLPRIVREV109-118, 168-169, 181-182. Eolas states that these documents fall into one of two categories: (1) documents regarding patents other than the '507 Patent, or (2) administrative matters.

Having reviewed the submission, the Court concludes there is no reason for the information in documents MCKOOLPRIVREV168-169 and 181-182, to be redacted. While the discussion in these emails may fall in the administrative matters category, Eolas previously agreed to "produce all pre-July 22nd internal McKool Smith documents that reference the '507 prosecution" and the redactions on these pages fall within this category. (Dkt. No. 444 at 8:21-23.) Eolas shall therefore produce these documents in unredacted form. The redacted portions of the remaining documents fall within the first category and need not be produced in unredacted

form because they relate to patents other than the '507 Patent.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 18, 2018


JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California